`	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/699,219	KO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Siu M. Lee	2611
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Siu M. Lee</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Michael J. Fogarty</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 26 October 2007	Time: <u>11:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:  claims 8 and 9		
oranis o and s		·
Prior art documents discussed:		
		į
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
attroney agrees to the proposed changes to claims 8 and 9		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		•
· .		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	'Applicant's Representative Sig	anature – if appropriate)
(		2